Desk Audit Questionnaire Directions

Please complete each applicable objective by including your responses in the "EP's Responses" column of the questionnaire. Additionally, as applicable, for each objective, please provide documentation supporting each of your responses.

General Information

The purpose of this section is to understand basic information about your practice and the strategy employed to meet Modified Stage 2 MU requirements. Information obtained in this section is used to provide additional context to your attestation during the review process.

Objective		EP's Responses	Auditor's Comments (For State Use Only)	W/P Reference (For State Use Only)
1.1. Identification	Name:			
Information	NPI:			
	Pay to Name:			
	Pay to NPI:			
1.2. Group Affiliation EP employed or contracted to work within groups/clinics	Are you an Employee or Contracted Physician of a Health Network/System? If yes, please provide the following information:	□ Yes □ No		
and/or if attested using group proxy.	System/Network Name(s):			
	Number of EPs in each System/Network:			
	Did you attest using group proxy?	□ Yes □ No		
	If yes, please list the organization name and NPI:			
	List all providers affiliated with this organization NPI during the patient volume date range (This date range can be found on your attestation or in the audit letter sent with this questionnaire.):			

Objective		EP's Responses	Auditor's Comments (For State Use Only)	W/P Reference (For State Use Only)
1.3. Certified Electronic Health	What is your CEHRT number?			
Record Technology (CEHRT)	Please provide, for year being attested to (2018), details of your CEHRT software maker, software version, and documentation showing date of CEHRT implementation.			
	Please provide documentation showing your legal or financial commitment to your CEHRT. This can include: bill(s) of sale, receipts, contracts, maintenance agreements, licenses, canceled checks, or other documentation.			
	Does your CEHRT meet the 2014 or 2015 standards or a combination of the two?	□ Yes □ No		
	Please list the practice location(s) equipped with your CEHRT:			
	Is your CEHRT the same one you attested with in prior years?	□ Yes □ No		
	Are you employed, or contracted to work for multiple employers or at multiple locations?	□ Yes □ No		
	Do your employers use different CEHRT?	□ Yes □ No		
	If yes, please list the CEHRT if it is different than the one stated above, along with the locations and addresses of your employers:			
	Supporting documentation provided?	□ Yes		

	EP's Responses	Auditor's Comments (For State Use Only)	W/P Reference (For State Use Only)
Reporting Period (patient volume date range):EP Attestation Numerator (the total number of Medicaid encounters the provider treated in the reporting period):Medicaid Out-of-State (list):Medicaid Fee-For-Service (FFS):Medicaid Managed Care (MCO):Total Medicaid Encounters:EP Attestation Denominator (the total number of encounters the provider treated in the reporting period):Total Patient Encounters:Briefly describe the procedures performed to determine patient volume in your practice. Also explain how patient volume is determined if you are practicing in multiple locations or groups. Please provide documentation to support your response. Examples of acceptable forms of supporting 	□ No □		
with signed attestations from a Director/Supervisor, and documentation supporting the patient volume calculations for each practice location. Please provide a patient volume system- generated report in a Microsoft Excel ,	Supporting documentation provided?		
	range): EP Attestation Numerator (the total number of Medicaid encounters the provider treated in the reporting period): <u>Medicaid Out-of-State (list):</u> <u>Medicaid Fee-For-Service (FFS):</u> <u>Medicaid Managed Care (MCO):</u> <u>Total Medicaid Encounters:</u> EP Attestation Denominator (the total number of encounters the provider treated in the reporting period): <u>Total Patient Encounters:</u> Briefly describe the procedures performed to determine patient volume in your practice. Also explain how patient volume is determined if you are practicing in multiple locations or groups. Please provide documentation to support your response. <i>Examples of</i> <i>acceptable forms of supporting</i> <i>documentation include: PI/Practice</i> <i>Management (PM) reports, records</i> with signed attestations from a <i>Director/Supervisor, and</i> <i>documentation supporting the patient</i> <i>volume calculations for each practice</i> <i>location.</i> Please provide a patient volume system-	range): EP Attestation Numerator (the total number of Medicaid encounters the provider treated in the reporting period): Medicaid Out-of-State (list): Medicaid Fee-For-Service (FFS): Medicaid Fee-For-Service (FFS): Medicaid Encounters: EP Attestation Denominator (the total number of encounters the provider treated in the reporting period): Procedures: Total Patient Encounters: EP Attestation Denominator (the total number of encounters the provider treated in the reporting period): Total Patient Encounters: Briefly describe the procedures performed to determine patient volume is determined if you are practicing in multiple locations or groups. Please provide documentation to support your response. Examples of acceptable forms of supporting documentation provided? Supporting documentation provided? Management (PM) reports, records with signed attestations from a Director/Supervisor, and documentation supporting the patient volume calculations for each practice location. Supporting documentation provided? Please provide a patient volume system-generated report in a Microsoft Excel, Supporting documentation provided?	Reporting Period (patient volume date range): Image: EP Attestation Numerator (the total number of Medicaid encounters the provider treated in the reporting period): Image: Medicaid Out-of-State (list): Image: Medicaid Fee-For-Service (FES): Image: Medicaid Fee-For-Service (FES): Image: Total Medicaid Encounters: Image: EP Attestation Denominator (the total number of encounters the provider treated in the reporting period): Image: Total Patient Encounters: Image: Briefly describe the procedures performed to determine patient volume is determined if you are practicing in multiple locations or groups. Please provide documentation provided? Procedures: Supporting documentation include: PLPractice Management (PM) reports, records with signed attestations for a Director/Supervisor, and documentation supporting the patient volume calculations for each practice in a Microsoft Excel. Supporting documentation provided? Please provide a patient volume system. Supporting documentation provided? Image: Please provide a patient volume system. Supporting documentation provided? Image: Press Supporting documentation provided? Image: Image: Image: Image: Image: Image: Image: Briefly describe the procedures <t< td=""></t<>

Objective		EP's Responses	Auditor's Comments (For State Use Only)	W/P Reference (For State Use Only)
	software, format with a system stamp showing it is generated from within your CEHRT AND a screenshot of the CEHRT's system settings. Please be sure your documentation includes the following: name of patient, date of birth, social security number, insurance type, provider who treated the patient, date of service, Medicaid ID, and the state in which the visit occurred and was billed.	□ No □ N/A		
1.5. FQHC/RHC Patient Volume	If you attested using patient volume data for practicing predominantly at an FQHC or RHC and using needy patient volume, please answer the information below:			
	FQHC/RHC practicingpredominantly patient volumePlease provide your practicingpredominantly patient volume usedduring attestation. Please be sure this isa detailed list that includes eachencounter location. If multiplelocations, provide the patient volume bylocation, including billed encounters.This is for the six-month period usedduring the attestation and uses the totalencounters at an FQHC/RHC over totalencounters at all locations. This shouldonly be for the provider attesting.Total at FQHC/RHC:	Supporting documentation provided?		
	Total Encounters:			

Objective		EP's Responses	Auditor's Comments (For State Use Only)	W/P Reference (For State Use Only)
	Needy Patient Volume at FQHC/RHC Provide needy patient volume documentation for the 90-day patient volume period. The encounters that can be included in needy patient volume: <i>Medicaid, Title XXI CHIP, Sliding Fee,</i> <i>and Uncompensated.</i>			
	EP Attestation Numerator (the total number of Medicaid encounters the provider treated in the reporting period):			
	Medicaid Out-of-State (list): Medicaid Patients: Title XXI CHIP Enrollees:			
	<u>Uncompensated:</u> <u>Sliding Fee:</u>			
	<u>Total Needy Patient Encounters:</u> EP Attestation Denominator (the total number of encounters the provider treated in the reporting period):			
	Total Patient Encounters:Total Patient Encounters:Briefly describe the proceduresperformed to determine patient volumein your practice. Please also explainhow patient volume is determined if youare practicing in multiple locations orgroups. Please provide documentationto support your response. Examples ofacceptable forms of supportingdocumentation include: CEHRT / PMreports, records with signed attestations	Procedures: Supporting documentation provided?		

Objective		EP's Responses	Auditor's Comments (For State Use Only)	W/P Reference (For State Use Only)
	documentation supporting the patient volume calculations for each practice location.			
	Please provide a patient volume system- generated report in a Microsoft Excel , or other compatible spreadsheet software, format with a system stamp showing it is generated from within your CEHRT AND a screenshot of the CEHRT's system settings. Please be sure your documentation	Supporting documentation provided?		
	includes the following: name of patient, date of birth, social security number, insurance type, provider who treated the patient, date of service, Medicaid ID, and the state in which the visit occurred and was billed.			
1.6. PA-led FQHC or RHC	Are you a PA practicing in a PA-led FQHC or RHC?	□ Yes □ No		
If yes, please provide documentation showing the EP is practicing in FQHC/RHC that is so led by a PA that is: the primary provider in the clinic, is a clinical or medical Director at the site of practice, or is an owner of the RHC. This documentation should include a signed attestation from a Director/Supervisor.	Supporting documentation provided?			
1.7. Unique Patients CMS' definition of a unique patient:	Please describe the definition used for unique patients, including what visit types are included in this calculation, for your MU reports.			

Objective		EP's Responses	Auditor's Comments (For State Use Only)	W/P Reference (For State Use Only)
"If a patient is seen by an EP more than once during the EHR reporting period, then for purposes of measurement that patient is only counted once in the denominator for the measure." The denominator for multiple MU measures is the "number of unique patients seen by the EP during the EHR reporting period." The unique patient date range is the CEHRT date range selected for reporting measure thresholds.	What visit types are included in the calculation of unique patients for MU reports? Supporting documentation provided? Examples of acceptable documentation could include a system policy that identifies how unique patients are counted, along with a system-generated report, or list of visit types included in the count.	☐ Yes ☐ No		
seen at location equipped withseen during the PI reporting period in your practice.	performed to determine unique patients seen during the PI reporting period in	Procedures:		
CEHRT during reporting period <i>and</i> percentage of	Please explain how this population is determined if you are practicing in multiple locations or groups.			

Objective		EP's Responses	Auditor's Comments (For State Use Only)	W/P Reference (For State Use Only)
unique patients' information maintained using CEHRT during reporting period	Please provide documentation to support your response. This should include a detailed list of all patients counted as unique patients during the PI date range. Examples of acceptable forms of supporting documentation include: CEHRT/PM reports, records with signed attestations from a Director/Supervisor, and documentation supporting the unique patient counts for each practice location.Please include the percentage of unique patients who were seen at a location equipped with CEHRT during the PI reporting period:Number of unique patients seen at a location with an CEHRTTotal number of unique patients	Supporting documentation provided?		
	Please include the percentage of unique patients whose information is maintained using CEHRT during the PI reporting period: Number of unique patients maintained in CEHRT Total number of unique patients For both percentages listed above, please provide detailed documentation that shows how the numbers and percentages are verified.	Supporting documentation provided?		

Objective		EP's Responses	Auditor's Comments (For State Use Only)	W/P Reference (For State Use Only)
	What procedures are performed to determine unique patients seen during the PI reporting period in your practice?	Procedures:		
1.9. Exclusions During the attestation process, you may	Exclusion: Explanation:			
have qualified for certain exclusions from meeting the requirements of a	Exclusion:			
measure. Please list all measures for which you met the exclusion criteria and	Explanation:			
a brief description of the circumstances which caused you to	Supporting documentation provided?	□ Yes		
meet the criteria.	Supporting documentation provided:	□ Yes □ No □ N/A		

Attestation

The following questions are related to specific measures, which you are required to meet in order to achieve Modified Stage 2 MU. All measures must be answered and supporting documentation provided.

Objective		EP's Responses	Auditor's Comments (For State Use Only)	W/P Reference (For State Use Only)
2.1 Measure – Protect Electronic Health Information	Who performed the security risk analysis of your CEHRT and what criteria/standard were used?			
risk analysis in accordance with the requirements in 45 CFR 164.308(a)(1), including addressing the security (to include encryption) of ePHI	with the requirements in 45that should include a final report,CFR 164.308(a)(1), including addressing the security (toasset inventory, and date of assessment (which should fall within	Supporting documentation provided?		
created or maintained by CEHRT in accordance with requirements under 45 CFR	Were deficiencies identified?	□ Yes □ No		
requirements under 45 CFR 164.312(a)(2)(iv) and 45 CFR 164.306(d)(3), and implement security updates as necessary and correct identified security deficiencies as part of the eligible professional's (EP) risk management process. Note: Many EPs have contracted with third parties to conduct a security risk assessment.	If yes, please list the deficiencies and describe the steps taken to address the identified deficiencies in a timely manner. Please note, risk assessments in consecutive years should be provided, along with any other supporting documentation available, to assist in verifying that identified deficiencies were remediated.			
2.2 Measure – Clinical Decision Support	Did you qualify for an exclusion for the second part?	□ Yes □ No		
Rule Eligible professionals (EPs) must satisfy both of the following parts in order to meet the objective: Part 1 – Implement 5 CDS	If yes, please provide documentation that supports the qualification of an exclusion.	Supporting documentation provided?		

Objective		EP's Responses	Auditor's Comments (For State Use Only)	W/P Reference (For State Use Only)
interventions related to four or more clinical quality measures (CQMs) at a relevant point in patient care for the entire Promoting Interoperability (PI) reporting period. Absent	Please describe the workflow used to meet the Modified Stage 2 criteria.			
4 CQMs related to an EP's scope of practice or patient population, the CDS interventions must be related	Please provide a screenshot from your system that shows how your CEHRT tracks compliance of Modified Stage 2 criteria.	Supporting documentation provided?		
 interventions must be related to high-priority health conditions. Part 2 – The EP has enabled and implemented the functionality for drug-drug and drug-allergy interaction checks for the entire PI reporting period. Please note, the CDSR is different than the CQM requirement. These need to aid directly in clinical decision making at a relevant point in patient care and improve patient care in some 	Please provide documentation showing that your system automatically and electronically indicates drug-drug and drug-allergy contraindications. This can be in the form of a system screenshot dated during the PI reporting period.	Supporting documentation provided?		
2.3 Measure – CPOE An eligible professional (EP), th objective below:	nrough a combination of meeting the threshold	ds and exclusions (or both), must satisfy all three measures for this		
OrdersMore than 60 percent of medication orders created by the EP during the PromotingIf ye that s	Did you qualify for an exclusion?	□ Yes □ No		
	If yes, please provide documentation that supports the qualification of an exclusion.	Supporting documentation provided?		

Objective		EP's Responses	Auditor's Comments (For State Use Only)	W/P Reference (For State Use Only)
	Please provide a screenshot or a report from the CEHRT system showing that medication orders are recorded in your CEHRT.	Supporting documentation provided?		
	Please provide documentation showing that the threshold of the orders recorded using your CEHRT were met.	Supporting documentation provided?		
2.3 B – Laboratory Orders	Did you qualify for an exclusion?	□ Yes □ No		
More than 30 percent of laboratory orders created by the EP during the PI reporting period are recorded using CPOE.	If yes, please provide documentation that supports the qualification of an exclusion.	Supporting documentation provided?		
exclusion? If yes, please p	Did you qualify for the alternative exclusion?	□ Yes □ No		
	If yes, please provide documentation that supports the alternative exclusion criteria.	Supporting documentation provided?		
	Please provide a screenshot or a report from the CEHRT system showing that laboratory orders are recorded in your CEHRT.	Supporting documentation provided?		

Objective		EP's Responses	Auditor's Comments (For State Use Only)	W/P Reference (For State Use Only)
	Please provide documentation showing that the threshold of the orders recorded using your CEHRT were met.	Supporting documentation provided?		
 2.3 C – Radiology Orders More than 30 percent of radiology orders created by the EP during the PI reporting period are recorded using CPOE. 2.4 Measure – Electronic Prescribing (eRx) More than 50 percent of permissible prescriptions written by the eligible professional (EP) are queried 	Did you qualify for an exclusion?	□ Yes □ No		
	If yes, please provide documentation that supports the qualification of an exclusion.	Supporting documentation provided?		
	Did you qualify for the alternative exclusion?	□ Yes □ No		
	If yes, please provide documentation that supports the alternative exclusion criteria.	Supporting documentation provided?		
	Please provide a screenshot or a report from the CEHRT system showing that radiology orders are recorded in your CEHRT.	Supporting documentation provided?		
	Please provide documentation showing that the threshold of the orders recorded using your CEHRT were met.	Supporting documentation provided?		
	Did you qualify for an exclusion?	□ Yes □ No		
	If yes, please provide documentation that supports the qualification of an exclusion.	Supporting documentation provided?		

Objective		EP's Responses	Auditor's Comments (For State Use Only)	W/P Reference (For State Use Only)
(CEHRT). proceed	Please provide the policy and	□ No □ N/A Supporting documentation provided?		
	procedure of ordering electronically with the use of e-Prescriptions.	□ Yes □ No		
	Please provide a screenshot of the capabilities of e-Prescribing ordering being implemented and used.	Supporting documentation provided?		
	Please provide documentation showing that the threshold of the prescriptions recorded using your CEHRT were met.	Supporting documentation provided?		
	If applicable, please provide documentation showing that your system automatically and electronically indicates drug formulary checks. This can be in the form of a system screenshot dated during the PI reporting period.	Supporting documentation provided?		
2.5 Measure – Health Information Exchange	Did you qualify for an exclusion?	□ Yes □ No		
The EP that transitions or refers their patient to another setting of care or provider of care must—(1) use certified electronic health record	If yes, please provide documentation that supports the qualification of an exclusion.	Supporting documentation provided?		

Objective		EP's Responses	Auditor's Comments (For State Use Only)	W/P Reference (For State Use Only)
technology (CEHRT) to create a summary of care record; and (2) electronically transmit such summary to a	What information is included with a summary of care record/health information exchange?			
receiving provider for more than 10 percent of transitions of care and referrals.	Result of test/exchange:	□ Successful □ Unsuccessful		
	Please provide copies of your test results or an example of an exchange with another provider that include the following information regarding the attempted exchange of clinical information:			
	Entity with whom the electronic summary of care/health information exchange was transmitted to:			
	CEHRT used by the receiving Entity:			
	Alternatively:			
	Did you test with the CMS- designated test CEHRT?	□ Yes □ No		
	If yes, what was the date?			
	If yes, what were the test results?			
	Supporting documentation provided?	□ Yes		
		\Box No		
2.6 Measure – Patient- Specific Education Resources	Did you qualify for an exclusion?	□ Yes □ No		
Patient-specific education resources identified by CEHRT are provided to	If yes, please provide documentation that supports the qualification of an exclusion.	Supporting documentation provided?		

Objective		EP's Responses	Auditor's Comments (For State Use Only)	W/P Reference (For State Use Only)
patients for more than 10 percent of all unique patients with office visits seen by the eligible professional (EP) during the Promoting Interoperability (PI) reporting period.	What clinically relevant information is used to identify patients who should receive patient-specific educational materials? Please provide a formal policy and a screenshot from your system showing an example of clinically relevant information that you are tracking to identify patients who should receive patient-specific educational materials.	□ N/A Supporting documentation provided? □ Yes □ No		
2.7 Measure – Medication Reconciliation	Did you qualify for an exclusion?	□ Yes □ No		
The EP performs medication reconciliation for more than 50 percent of transitions of care in which the patient is transitioned into the care of the EP.	If yes, please provide documentation that supports the qualification of an exclusion.	Supporting documentation provided?		
	Please provide a screenshot from your system showing medication reconciliation completed for patients transferred to the provider.	Supporting documentation provided?		
 2.8 Measure – Patient Electronic Access EPs must satisfy both parts in order to meet this measure: Part 1 – More than 50 percent of all unique patients seen by the EP during the Promoting Interoperability (PI) reporting period are provided timely 	Did you qualify for an exclusion(s) for either part 1 or part 2?	□ Yes □ No		
	If yes, please provide documentation that supports the qualification of an exclusion(s).	Supporting documentation provided? Yes No N/A		

Objective		EP's Responses	Auditor's Comments (For State Use Only)	W/P Reference (For State Use Only)
access to view online, download, and transmit to a third party their health information subject to the EP's discretion to withhold certain information. Part 2 – For the PI reporting	What is the mechanism in place to provide patients the ability to view online, download, and transmit their health information (e.g., Patient Portal, secure mail)?			
periods in 2017 and 2018, more than 5 percent of unique patients seen by the EP during	How do you verify patients have accessed their health information?			
patients seen by the EP during the PI reporting period (or his or her authorized representatives) view, download or transmit to a third party their health information during the PI reporting period.	Please provide a screenshot of the mechanism used and a screenshot from your PI that tracks if patients have accessed their health information.	Supporting documentation provided?		
	Please provide documentation of how at least one patient seen during the PI reporting period views, downloads, or transmits to a third party his/her health information during the PI reporting period.	Supporting documentation provided?		
2.9 Measure – Use Secure Electronic Messaging	Did you qualify for an exclusion?	□ Yes □ No		
For a Promoting Interoperability (PI) reporting period in 2018, for more than 5 percent of unique patients seen by the eligible professional (EP) during the PI reporting period, a secure message was sent using the electronic messaging function of certified electronic health record technology (CEHRT)	If yes, please provide documentation that supports the qualification of an exclusion.	Supporting documentation provided?		
	What capability do you have in place for secure electronic messaging to communicate with patients on relevant health information?			

Objective		EP's Responses	Auditor's Comments (For State Use Only)	W/P Reference (For State Use Only)
to the patient (or the patient- authorized representative), or in response to a secure message sent by the patient (or the patient-authorized representative) during the PI reporting period	Please provide a screenshot or email confirmation showing the use of secure electronic messaging.	Supporting documentation provided?		
	Please also provide a formal policy outlining secure electronic messaging capabilities.	Supporting documentation provided?		
record technology (CEHR)	-			
2.10 A – Measure Option 1 – Immunization Registry Reporting	Did you qualify for an exclusion?	□ Yes □ No		
The EP is in active engagement with a PHA to submit immunization data.	If yes, please provide documentation that supports the qualification of an exclusion.	Supporting documentation provided?		
	If attesting yes to Immunization Registry Data Submission, please provide the following required documentation:			
	Registry Name:			
	Ongoing submission?	□ Yes □ No		
	If yes, disregard the following questions on testing. If no, what was your date of test submission?			

Objective		EP's Responses	Auditor's Comments (For State Use Only)	W/P Reference (For State Use Only)
	Outcome of test submission:			
		□ Unsuccessful		
	If test was successful, was a	□ Yes		
	follow-up submission of live data performed?	□ No		
	If no, please explain why not?			
2.10 B – Measure Option	Did you qualify for an exclusion?	□ Yes		
2 – Syndromic Surveillance		□ No		
Reporting	If yes, please provide documentation	Supporting documentation provided?		
The EP is in active	that supports the qualification of an exclusion.	□ Yes		
engagement with a PHA to submit syndromic	CACIUSION.	□ No		
surveillance data.				
	If attesting yes to Syndromic Surveillance Data Submission, please provide the following required documentation:			
	Public Health Agency Name:			
	Ongoing submission?	□ Yes		
		□No		
	If yes, disregard the following questions on testing.			
	If no, what was your date of test submission?			
	Outcome of test submission:			
		□ Unsuccessful		
	If test was successful, was a	□ Yes		
	follow-up submission of live data performed?	□ No		

Objective		EP's Responses	Auditor's Comments (For State Use Only)	W/P Reference (For State Use Only)
	If no, please explain why not?			
2.10 C – Measure Option 3 – Specialized Registry Reporting	Did you qualify for an exclusion?	□ Yes □ No		
engagement to submit data to a specialized registry.	If yes, please provide documentation that supports the qualification of an exclusion.	Supporting documentation provided?		
	If attesting yes to Specialized Registry Data Submission, please provide the following required documentation:			
	Public Health Agency Name: Ongoing submission?	□ Yes □ No		
	If yes, disregard the following questions on testing. If no, what was your date of test submission?			
	Outcome of test submission:	□ Successful □ Unsuccessful		
	If test was successful, was a follow-up submission of live data performed?	□ Yes □ No		

EP Certification

I certify that the responses documented in this questionnaire and the supporting documentation provided is accurate to the best of my knowledge.

Contact Name:	Contact Email:
EP Signature/Title:	Date: